1	Gary M. Hoffman, admitted pro hac vice	
2	Kenneth W. Brothers, admitted pro hac vice DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY	LLP
3	2101 L Street, N.W.	, 221
4	Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700	
5	Facsimile: (202) 887-0689	
6	Edward A. Meilman, admitted pro hac vice DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY	. LLP
7	1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-2714	,
8	Phone: (212) 835-1400 Fax: (212) 992-9880	
9	Jeffrey B. Demain (SBN 126715)	
10	Jonathan Weissglass (SBN 185008) ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM,	
11	RUBIN & DEMAIN 177 Post Street, Suite 300	
12	San Francisco, California 94108 Phone: (415) 421-7151	
13	Fax: (415) 362-8064	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd.	
15		
16		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
17	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
18	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,) Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
19	Plaintiff,)) AMENDED COMPLAINT
20	·)
21	VS.))
22	AEROFLEX INC., AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,))
23	MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC.,)
24	MATROX INTERNATIONAL, INC., MATROX TECH, INC., and))
25	AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.)
26	Defendants.))
27)
28		
	CASE NO. CV-03	-4669 MII (FMC)

CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) AMENDED COMPLAINT Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd. ("Ricoh") for its Complaint against Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated ("Aeroflex"), AMI Semiconductor, Inc. ("AMI"), Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd. ("Matrox"), Matrox Graphics Inc. ("Matrox Graphics"), Matrox International Corp. ("Matrox Int'1"), Matrox Tech, Inc. ("Matrox Tech"), and Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. ("UTMC"), alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Ricoh is a corporation organized under the laws of Japan and maintains its principal place of business at 3-6 1-chome, Nakamagome, Tokyo, Japan.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aeroflex is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 35 S. Service Road, Plainview, NY, 11803. Aeroflex is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant AMI is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 2300 Buckskin Road, Pocatello, ID 83201. AMI is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox is a corporation organized under the laws of Quebec, Canada, maintains its principal place of business at 1055 Boul St-Regis,

 Dorval, Quebec H9P 2T4 Canada. Matrox is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has

this Court for this action.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox Graphics is a corporation organized under the laws of Quebec, Canada, maintains its principal place of business at 1055 Boul St-Regis, Dorval, Quebec H9P 2T4 Canada. Matrox Graphics is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.

committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of

- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox Int'l is a corporation organized under the laws of New York, maintains its principal place of business at 625 State Rt 3, Unit B, Plattsburgh, NY 12901. Matrox Int'l is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Matrox Tech is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, maintains its principal place of business at 1075 Broken Sound Parkway, NW, Boca Raton, FL 33487-3524. Matrox Tech is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant UTMC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Aeroflex, is also known as Aeroflex Microelectronic Solutions, Inc., Aeroflex UTMC Microelectronic Solutions, Inc., and formerly known as United Technologies Microelectronics Center, is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware

and maintains a place of business at 4350 Centennial Blvd, CO, 80907. UTMC is doing business in this jurisdiction and/or has committed the acts complained of in this jurisdiction, and has consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for this action.

JURISDICTION

- 9. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, and more particularly under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et. seq.
- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this patent infringement action under the Judicial Code of the United States, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1331.
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because Defendants are present and/or doing business in this jurisdiction either directly or through their agents, or alternatively, have consented to the jurisdiction of this Court.

VENUE

12. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that Defendants regularly transact business in this judicial district and/or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district and/or are found in this judicial district and/or are aliens.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

13. On May 1, 1990, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 4,922,432 (the "'432 Patent") in the names of Hideaki Kobayashi and Masahiro Shindo for their invention titled "Knowledge Based Method and

3

6

8

9

7

10

1112

13

1415

16

18

17

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Apparatus for Designing Integrated Circuits using Functional Specifications." A copy of the '432 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 14. By assignment, Ricoh is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in the '432 Patent and has the sole right to sue and recover for infringement thereof.
- 15. The '432 Patent describes, inter alia, a method for designing an application specific integrated circuit. By using the invention of the '432 Patent, one can define functional architecture independent specifications for an integrated circuit and translate functional architecture independent specifications into the detailed information needed for directly producing the integrated circuit.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

COUNT 1

- 16. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Aeroflex has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Aeroflex will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

Upon information and belief, AMI's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.

26.

27. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for AMI's infringement. 1 2 COUNT 3 3 28. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof. 4 29. Upon information and belief, Matrox has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by 5 6 utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits 10 made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, 11 either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. 12 30. Upon information and belief, Matrox will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless 13 14 enjoined by this Court. 15 31. As a consequence of Matrox's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an 16 extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in 17 18 the future unless Matrox is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of 19 infringement. 20 32. 21 Upon information and belief, Matrox's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful. 22 33. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Matrox's 23 infringement. 24 25 COUNT 4 26 34. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof. 27

Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or

by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

- 42. Upon information and belief, Matrox Int'l will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 43. As a consequence of Matrox Int'l's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Matrox Int'l is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of infringement.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Matrox Int'l's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.
- 45. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Matrox Int'l's infringement.

COUNT 6

- 46. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Matrox Tech has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

- 49. As a consequence of Matrox Tech's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Matrox Tech is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of infringement.
- 50. Upon information and belief, Matrox Tech's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.
 - 51. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Matrox Tech's infringement.

COUNT 7

- 52. Ricoh repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 hereof.
- Upon information and belief, UTMC has been and is now infringing the '432 Patent by utilizing in the United States the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent as part of the process of manufacturing application specific integrated circuits, and/or by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States, application specific integrated circuits made with the use and/or by the process of one or more of claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 54. Upon information and belief, UTMC will continue to infringe the '432 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 55. As a consequence of UTMC's infringement, Ricoh has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined, and Ricoh will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in

2728

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	the future unless UTMC is enjoined by this Court from committing further acts of		
2	infringement.		
3	56. Upon information and belief, UTMC's infringement of the '432 Patent is willful.		
5	57. Ricoh is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for UTMC's		
6	infringement.		
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
8 9	WHEREFORE, Ricoh prays for entry of judgment:		
10	A. that Aeroflex has infringed the '432 Patent;		
11 12	B. that Aeroflex, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those		
13	acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with Aeroflex, be preliminarily and		
14	permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;		
1516	C. that Aeroflex account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including		
17	enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees		
18	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;		
1920	D. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to		
21	it by reason of Aeroflex's infringement of the '432 Patent;		
22	E. that AMI has infringed the '432 Patent;		
2324	F. that AMI, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those acting,		
25	or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with AMI, be preliminarily and permanently		
2627	enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;		
28			

1	P. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to	
2	it by reason of Matrox Graphics' infringement of the '432 Patent;	
3	Q. that Matrox Int'l has infringed the '432 Patent;	
5	R. that Matrox Int'l, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those	
6	acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with Matrox Int'l, be preliminarily and	
7 8	permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;	
9	S. that Matrox Int'l account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284,	
10	including enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys'	
1112	fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	
13	T. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to	
14	it by reason of Matrox Int'l's infringement of the '432 Patent;	
1516	U. that Matrox Tech has infringed the '432 Patent;	
17	V. that Matrox Tech, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and	
18	those acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with Matrox Tech, be preliminarily	
1920	and permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;	
21	W. that Matrox Tech account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284,	
22	including enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys'	
2324	fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	
25	X. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to	
2627	it by reason of Matrox Tech's infringement of the '432 Patent;	
28	Y. that costs be awarded to Ricoh; and	

Z. that Ricoh be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper		
under the current circumstances;		
AA. that UTMC has infringed the '432 Patent;		
BB.that UTMC, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those		
acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with UTMC, be preliminarily and		
permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;		
CC. that UTMC account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including		
enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees		
pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and		
DD. that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages		
caused to it by reason of UTMC's infringement of the '432 Patent.		
Ricoh Company, Ltd. By:/s/		
Jeffrey B. Demain (SBN 126715) Jonathan Weissglass (SBN 185008)		
ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM,		
RUBIN & DEMAIN 177 Post Street, Suite 300		
San Francisco, California 94108		
Phone: (415) 421-7151 Fax: (415) 362-8064		
· · ·		
Gary M. Hoffman Edward Meilman		
Eric Oliver Kenneth W. Brothers		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &		
OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street NW		
Washington, D.C. 20037-1526		
Telephone: (202) 785-9700 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689		
Counsel for Plaintiff, Ricoh Company.		
CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) Page 14		

CASE NO. CV-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) Page 14

AMENDED COMPLAINT